

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,103	/079,103 02/19/2002		Lex P. Jansen	271/186	6058
28075	7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
	N, SEAGER &	THANH, LOAN H			
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3763	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· ,		10/079,103	JANSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		LoAn H. Thanh	3763					
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	dress				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS (- If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a specified above, the maximum statutory per error extended period for reply will, by strending the control of the provision of the	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r i. In reply within the statutory minimum of thin I riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I watute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 1 April 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is	FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·	er Ex parte Quayre, 1999 O.E.	7. 11, 433 0.3. 213.					
		the confication						
, , , ,	Claim(s) 44-52 and 56-86 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>44-52,36,57,39-73,77 and 79-66</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>76</u> is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may	not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or o	leclaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119							
a) All b) 3 1. Certific 2. Certific 3. Copies applic	nent is made of a claim for fore Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documed copies of the priority documes of the certified copies of the pation from the International Buned detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)		_						
1) Notice of References			Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB e	′ <u> </u>	nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The pending claims are 44-52,56-86.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph have been with drawn in view of applicant's amendment filed 04/11/05.

Some of the drawing objections have been withdrawn in view of the canceled claims filed 04/11/05.

The double patenting rejection has been obviated in view of the terminal disclaimer filed 04/11/05.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the metallic stiffener interposed between the inner tubular liner and the non-metallic stiffener (claim 46) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement

Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of

the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 44-52,56-57,59-75,77,79-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Samson et al. (USPN 6,143,013).

Samson et al. disclose a catheter comprising an inner tubular liner having a relatively stiff proximal section comprising a metallic and non-metallic stiffer coaxially wound about the inner tubular liner and a relatively flexible section comprising non-metallic stiffener coaxially wound the metallic stiffener terminating before reaching the

distal section of the catheter. See figures 1-6 and especially figure 8. See abstract, see columns 7-8 (specifically col. 8 lines 4-20,30-33,55-59), col. 9, lines 5-15, 64-67, col. 12, lines 11-16, 29-62, col. 13-15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 58,78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samson et al. (USPN 6,143,013).

Samson et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the material of the non-metallic stiffer comprising a liquid crystal polymer. Samson et al. disclose a variety of materials such as polyaramids and carbon fibers for the ribbon/braid. Samson et al. also disclose a plurality of polymeric materials for the tubular structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the materials of the non-metallic stiffener for materials which provide different properties, cost, or quality applicable for the catheter, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended uses as a matter of obvious engineering choice lacking any criticality. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 76 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are not convincing and the examiner has given applicant a detailed response. Applicant is directed to the figures and columns which have been referenced in the first office action and above.

Samson et al discloses using braids on metallic materials such as nitinol and non-metallic braids constructed of polymeric fibers or carbon fibers. See column 88 lines 55-59.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763